

**REMARKS**

Objections are raised to the drawings as failing to show certain features contained in the claims.

The disclosure is objected to on formal grounds, and on the basis that the Examiner is not clear on certain features of the invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Claims 1-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting in view of US Patent No. 7094168.

Figure 7 has been added showing the elements in the preamble of the claim in compliance with the Examiner's requirement.

Applicant hereby provides further clarification for the Examiner.

Groove 51B receives the valve seat 53 which is press fit into the lower end of the cylinder 11 and the groove 51B.

The groove 51B provides a path for oil to travel between the oil reservoir chamber 24 and the high pressure oil chamber 16. This characteristic of the invention is described on page 11 lines 5-7, and in Fig. 1 of the drawings.

Enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer which addresses the Double Patenting objection.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicant asserts that all of the objections have been obviated and, therefore now respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections, and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,




Keith H. Orum  
Attorney Registration No. 33985  
Attorney for Applicant

Orum & Roth LLC  
53 West Jackson Boulevard  
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3606  
Telephone: 312.922.6262

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents, Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on 29 March 2007.



Beata Bukranova

145.13776